



ISSN:2229-6107



**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY**

E-mail :
editor.ijpast@gmail.com
editor@ijpast.in

www.ijpast.in

The Perilous Impact of Herbal Pharmaceutical Runoff on a Watershed

G Vijay Kumar¹, T Rajesh²,

Abstract

The primary purpose of this research was to compare the acute toxicity of raw, neutralized, physic-chemically treated, and biologically treated effluent from a wastewater treatment plant. The pharmacological use of herbs. The freshwater crustacean *Ceri daphnia du- Bia* was used in a controlled laboratory setting to investigate acute toxicity. For 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours, the LC50 values for raw, neutralized, and physic-chemically treated effluent were 3.0–4.5%, 3.9–10.8%, and 22.2–28%. The data show that biological therapy completely eliminated the toxicity, but physicochemical treatment only decreased it by around 25%. When the mortality rate and effluent concentrations were analysed statistically, a regression coefficient of more than 0.9 was shown, showing a strong association.

Keywords: Acute toxicity *Ceri daphnia* Herbal pharmaceutical wastewater

Introduction.

Herbal pharmaceutical medications have grown in popularity owing to their inexpensive cost, few side effects, cultural acceptance, and insignificant risk of overdose. Herbal However, the production procedures of medicines, which involve washing medicinal plants to remove dust particles, microbiological pollutants, etc., produce enormous volumes of wastewater. Many plant alkaloids, including nimbi and nimbi din, are found in the fruit, nut, leaves, and bark used in herbal medicine manufacturing, making this effluent harmful to aquatic flora and animals. Sugar, alcohol, gelatine, lactose, organic solvents, clays, salts, specific trace metals, and culinary oils are only some of the

various substances employed with these alkaloids. These substances also enter the wastewater system, although in minute amounts. Physical washing is only one source of wastewater; other operations, including as crushing, mixing, extraction, fermentation, distillation, decoction preparation, and percolation, contribute to the total. Standard physic-chemical parameters such as pH, alkalinity, dissolved oxygen, BOD, COD, TDS, and SS are often used in effluent quality assessments. However, owing to certain consequences, these characteristics cannot be used for toxicity assessment or research into the impacts of wastewater on recipient water.

Assistant Professor ^{1,2},
Mail Id : vijayakumar.g@visvodayata.ac.in, Mail Id : thatiparthiraaz@gmail.com,
Department of Chemistry,
PBR VISVODAYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE,KAVALI

bodies. In most cases, conducting a biotoxicity test on the effluent is the most reliable method for doing so. Effluents are of great ecological importance because the organic, inorganic, and poisonous substances present have a direct influence on aquatic life, especially fish. Due to the significant variability in the parameters of the wastewater, the predicted consequences of the wastewater on the flora and fauna also vary greatly (Venera et al., 2004). The makeup of the effluent is influenced by the pharmaceuticals being made, the raw materials being utilized, and the current needs of the market. Fish bioassays are often used to determine the toxicity of effluent. Due to stricter rules on discharge requirements, the evaluation of the acute toxicity of various industrial wastewaters has received increased attention in recent years. More emphasis has been placed on zooplankton assay in recent years, in addition to fish bioassays. Many species of protozoa, rotifer, and Cladocera are used as biological indicators of river and receiving water quality, including lakes and ponds (Katalin 1995). The test for lethality has been assessed more often than any other chemical stress or toxicity response of zooplankton because it is the most easily included into monitoring programmes and regulatory testing for compliance with discharge regulations. Tests are generally considered as screening procedures and may take anything from 30 seconds to four days to complete. While zooplankton testing for chronic poisoning may take anything from a few days to many weeks to complete. Biotoxicity testing may be conducted using a variety of species, including fish algae, bacteria, and other aquatic microorganisms. Toxicity assessment in freshwater environments has long made use of zooplankton such rotifers, Cladocera, calanoid, and copepod (Tevlin and Burgis 1979). Using the aforementioned sources, an assessment of herbal pharmaceutical wastewater's toxicity to the aquatic crustacean *Ceri daphniaDubai* was attempted. As an essential fish food organism, this species may be found in abundance in the rivers and lakes of the Indian state of Maharashtra. There is published research on the toxicity of industrial wastewaters to zooplankton. Acute and chronic assays using copepods in the lab have been developed for the sensitivity of *Daphnia magna*, *Daphnia plex*, *Daphnia parva*, and *Daphnia ambigua* (Winner and Farrell 1976).

Mani and Konar (1984) find that *Dipatomesforesee* is acutely poisonous to an organophosphorus insecticide. The toxicity of azulene and longifolene was tested using *Ceri daphniaDubai* and *Daphnia magna* (Sweet and

Meier, 1997). The safe disposal rate of wastewater from paper and pulp mills has also been investigated (Ghosh and Konar, 1980). Cypermethrin's toxicity to *Daphnia magna* has been investigated, and the results have been published (Ruparelia et al., 1995). Onuoha et al. (1996) find that cadmium is comparably harmful to both copepods and Ostracods. According to the published research, freshwater zooplankton investigations have only been conducted with a select few pollutants and industrial wastewaters (Pablo et al. 1997; Devraj et al. 1988). There is currently no information available on the toxicity of zooplankton to wastewater from herbal pharmaceuticals. Because zooplankton are ecologically significant as fish feeding animals in freshwater aquatic habitats, determining the harmful impact of herbal pharmaceutical wastewater on important zooplankton species common to this area was the primary goal of this research. The effects of herbal wastewater on *Ceri daphniaDubai* are the subject of this essay. Glass beaker for an hour to allow the population of zooplankton to stabilize. The organisms were pipetted using a fine Pasteur pipette onto a glass petri dish with reservoir water, where they could be studied and identified. Isolation culture jars were used to bring the required test species, *Ceri daphniaDubai*, into cultivation. Following the procedures outlined in the literature (UNEP 1992), *Ceri daphniaDubai* was successfully cultured on a large scale. Make culture medium, one liter of filtered pond water was combined with 5.0 grams of dried cow dung and 25.0 grams of garden soil, then let to sit for 2 days before being strained through a plankton cloth. In order to make the final culture medium, one part of filtrate was mixed with 6-8 parts of de-chlorinated tap water. After letting the filtrate sit for 7 days, the settled sediment was thrown away. One part filtrate was diluted with six to eight parts sterile water to make the final culture medium. Two liters of the prepared culture material were placed in a three-liter wide-mouth glass jar, and eight to ten adult *Ceri daphniaDubai* were transferred to the jar using a fine micropipette/Pasteur pipette. For scientific purposes, an enormous number of *Ceri daphniaDubai* species of consistent size were collected in about 8-10 days. A culture of the unicellular green alga *Scenedesmus subspicatus* was used to nourish the cyprinid ciliate *Ceri daphnia*. They were fed twice daily, each time at a concentration of 25,000 cells/milk. The organisms were then employed for toxicity testing after being isolated from the culture flask.

A local herbal pharmaceutical medication production plant provided the necessary wastewater. Compositated waste- water was collected hourly for 24 hours and utilized in the studies. Since the raw wastewater was quite acidic (with a pH of 3.9-4.2), the resulting combined wastewater required neutralization. Table 1 displays the results of regular physic-chemical parameter testing performed in accordance with Standard Methods (1998) on combined raw wastewater, neutralized wastewater, physic-chemically treated wastewater, and biologically treated effluent. The tap water was filtered via an activated carbon column and then aerated to make dilution water. Table 2 lists the physicochemical properties of diluting water. Herbal pharmaceutical wastewater had a BOD/COD ratio between 0.51 and 0.60, suggesting a good biodegradability. Since it may not be economically viable to subject this wastewater to biological treatment right once, it was chosen to treat the wastewater by physic-chemical approach first. The ideal concentration of alum (300 mg/L) and polyelectrolyte (Oxyflux-FL 11) (0.2 mL/L) resulted in a 69.40% reduction of BOD and a 64.0% reduction of COD. The toxicity of this effluent was reduced by 25%. The effluent was remained harmful to the environment even after being diluted by 25%.

Table 1 Characteristics of herbal pharmaceutical wastewater

Parameters ^a	Raw wastewater	Neutralized wastewater	Physico-chemically treated wastewater	Biologically treated effluent
pH	3.9-4.2	6.8-7.4	7.0-7.2	7.0-7.8
Colour	Dark yellow	Grey	Light yellow	Clear
Total acidity/alkalinity	1702	597	192	210
Total suspended solids	1800	1802	294	46
Total solids	4188	2532	536	98
Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	12420	9200	3648	510
Bio-chemical oxygen demand (BOD)	6890	4810	1660	160
Chloride as Cl	160	136	80	40
Sulfide as S ²⁻	28	20	89	1.2
Sulphates as SO ₄	45	32	16	10
Phosphates as PO ₄	156	98	42	22
Total nitrogen as N	224	132	68	28
Oil and grease	82	36	12	NSD
Sodium as Na	96	82	62	40
Potassium as K	60	51	10	6
Heavy metals				
Iron	34.478	16.84	8.200	1.98
Copper	0.5790	0.3120	0.2242	0.130
Manganese	3.5402	1.8912	0.1692	0.102
Nickel	0.8080	0.2364	0.1426	0.092
Zinc	0.2742	0.3624	0.1000	0.060
Chromium	0.2430	0.1284	0.1010	0.0646
Lead	1.9623	0.9236	0.7214	0.078
Calcium	0.1010	0.0221	0.0923	0.041
Selenium	0.2111	0.1321	0.0968	0.022
Arsenic	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.

N.D. not detected

^a All the values are expressed in mg/L. Except pH and colour

Table 2 Characteristics of dilution water

Parameters ^a	Values (mg/L)
Temperature (0°C)	25–27
pH	7.9–8.2
Dissolved oxygen	6.6–7.4
Total alkalinity as CaCO ₃	148–180
Total hardness as CaCO ₃	136–160
Ca hardness as CaCO ₃	60–78
Mg hardness as CaCO ₃	76–82
Calcium (as Ca)	24–31
Magnesium (as Mg)	20–24
Sodium (as Na)	30–32
Potassium (as K)	2–6

^a All the values are expressed in mg/L. Except temperature and pH

Ceri daphnia. This effluent was then put through an aerobic activated sludge system for additional biological treatment. Extensive research was conducted at several cocktail varied between 24 and 54 hours, the Food to Microorganism (F/M) ratio varied between 0.1 and 0.074, while the

MLSS concentration fluctuated between 2000 and 4000 mg/L. A 42-hour HRT cycle, a 0.18 F/M ratio, and 4,000 mg/L of MLSS were shown to be optimal in a number of studies. The oil and heavy metal contents in this effluent were significantly decreased (Table 1). Ceri daphniaDubai showed no signs of toxicity after extended exposure to this treated effluent. The test solutions for the bioassays included ten different organ- isms per one hundredmillilitres of liquid. Wastewater was diluted in a series based on specifications. Controls using dilution water were also performed. Three of each of the control and experimental dilutions were used in the toxicity experiments. A total of ten newly hatched Ceri daphniaDubai, each 48 hours old, were randomly divided among test containers containing wastewater with varying concentrations (3%-6% for raw waste- water, 3.5%-12% for neutralized wastewater, and 20%-30% for physico-chemically treated wastewater). Each container's mortality rate was tracked every 12 hours for 48 hours. When the creatures did not move their limbs in response to extremely little poking, it was determined that they had died. The test beaker's pipette was used to extract decomposing organ- isms. Each experiment was conducted in a controlled environment with a consistent temperature (26 C? 2 C) and light regime (12 h photoperiod). The endpoints, including the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC), the LC50, the slope function, the 95% confidence interval, and the regression coefficient, were estimated by conducting 48-hour acute static tests. Using methods described in the literature (Sprague 1969; Finney 1971), we calculated the median lethal concentration LC50 after 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours of exposure. The 95% confidence interval was also determined using the published formula (Litchfield and Wilcoxon 1949).

Results

Protozoa that live in lakes, rivers, and streams Ceri daphniaDubai was exposed to raw, neutralized, physiochemically treated, and bio- logically treated herbal medicinal effluent. Results The data has been compiled in Table 3.

Discussion

Model organism Ceri daphnia's susceptibility to the different wastewaters was very variable. However, LC50 values are helpful for establishing overall comparisons. The vulnerability of Ceri daphnia to environmental pollutants. Physiochemically treated herbal medicinal effluent was shown to be much safer than either untreated or neutralized wastewater. Untreated sewage was more dangerous than the treated form. During the acute toxicity test, Ceri daphnia's mobility was restricted. The effect

of wastewater on the Ceri daphnia body size was negligible. Curling of the antennae was also seen. The eyes became a deep, intense black. The LC50 results demonstrate that the raw herbal wastewater is more hazardous. The COD, BOD, and suspended particles in the effluent were only marginally reduced after lime neutralization. The toxicity levels were decreasing as a consequence. There is no denying that neutralized water is unsafe for discharge. This effluent requires further processing. Lime, alum, ferrous sulphate, and ferric chloride were used as conventional coagulants in the further physico-chemical treatment of the wastewater after the first results with raw and neutralized herbal pharmaceutical waste- water were analysed. Some polyelectronic- lutes were also added to the mix with the aforementioned conventional coagulants. It was found that a concentration of 300:25 mg/L of alum and cationic polymer (Oxyflux- FL 11) (18) was optimal. The effluent from this concentration and composition was evaluated for toxicity. Toxicity was significantly reduced, with LC50 values showing a reduction of around 25%. Table 3 shows the LC50, slope, confidence interval, and regression results for 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours after initial contact with raw, neutralized, and physiochemically treated wastewaters. There was a degree of success in reducing the risk with physical and pharmacological treatment.

Sr. no.	Time (h)	LC ₅₀ (%)	95% confidence interval	Slope	R ²
Raw wastewater					
1	12	4.5	4.01-5.04	Y = 16.942x - 22.577	0.8796
2	24	4.0	3.57-4.48	Y = 21.768x - 23.588	0.9685
3	36	3.5	2.966-4.13	Y = 22.05x - 12.853	0.9685
4	48	3.0	2.40-3.75	Y = 21.685x - 1.5548	0.9804
Neutralized wastewater					
5	12	10.8	7.94-14.688	Y = 6.6401x + 5.4011	0.9825
6	24	7.0	4.86-10.08	Y = 6.5161x + 22.068	0.9800
7	36	5.0	3.57-6.8	Y = 0.6485x + 4.612	0.9848
8	48	3.9	2.76-5.5	Y = 7.1488x + 32.0221	-
Physico-chemically treated wastewater					
9	12	28	26.142-29.880	Y = 5.0874x - 94.86	0.9724
10	24	26.6	24.186-29.900	Y = 5.4545x - 95.459	0.9877
11	36	24.4	21.81-26.28	Y = 5.7273x - 92.182	0.9940
12	48	22.16	20.00-24.2	Y = 5.835x - 84.444	0.9932

Since the COD, BOD, and SS values were higher than the discharge criteria and the Ceri daphnia did not survive for an extended period of time, the water was still unfit for discharge into the surface water bodies. duration. The results conclusively show that further secondary treatment of the wastewater is required to meet the criteria. That is why an aerobic activated sludge system was used to

further treat the physic-chemical effluent. Table 1 displays the characteristics of the treated effluent. The presence of thriving *Ceri daphnia* in this biologically treated effluent is indicative of the successful elimination of several contaminants. Low levels of heavy metals were detected. In addition, the procedure was successful in removing the oil emulsion entirely. Research shows that even while herbal medicine wastewater is biodegradable, it is nonetheless harmful to aquatic life. Therefore, treatment of the wastewater is necessary prior to its release. This wastewater toxicity was never addressed seriously because of its herbal origins. The findings make it truly clear that effluent from herbal pharmaceuticals is harmful to wildlife and must be thoroughly treated before being released. Research understanding the toxicity of potential effluent discharges would aid industrial management in implementing preventative pollution controls. Many eco-toxicological issues may be mitigated if this were to happen. Research showed that both untreated and neutralized herbal pharmaceutical wastewater were very hazardous to *Ceri daphnia*, but that physic-chemical treatment significantly reduced this toxicity by more than 25%. Research suggests that secondary treatment, after primary physicochemical treatment, is necessary to minimize the toxicity of this herbal medicinal effluent. The aquatic crustacean *Ceri daphnia* is very vulnerable to toxins in the environment. They are indicators of an aquatic ecosystem's vitality and production. Most aquatic macrofauna, including *Ceri daphnia*, depend on plankton, especially zooplankton, for sustenance. The term "zooplankton" is used to describe a specific kind of aquatic habitat. Aquatic populations, especially fish, rely heavily on zooplankton creatures since they constitute an essential element of their diet. As a result, it is important to make sure planktons flourish in bodies of water. Because the COD, BOD, and SS values were higher than the discharge criteria and *Ceri daphnia* did not survive for an extended period of time, the water was nevertheless unfit for discharge into the surface water bodies. The results conclusively show that further secondary treatment of the wastewater is required to meet the criteria. That is why an aerobic activated sludge system was used to further treat the physic-chemical effluent. Table 1 displays the characteristics of the treated effluent. The presence of thriving *Ceri daphnia* in this biologically treated effluent is indicative of the successful elimination of several contaminants. Low levels of heavy metals were detected. In addition, the procedure was successful in removing the oil emulsion entirely. Research shows that even while herbal medicine wastewater is biodegradable, it is nonetheless harmful to aquatic life. Therefore, treatment of the wastewater is necessary prior to its release. This wastewater toxicity was never

addressed seriously because of its herbal origins. Very Public Domain Non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any form are all permitted with proper attribution to the author(s) and the original source under the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License under which this material is released. The findings make it clear that effluent from herbal pharmaceuticals is harmful to wildlife and must undergo extensive treatment before being released back into the environment. Research understanding the toxicity of potential effluent discharges would aid industrial management in implementing preventative pollution controls. Many ecotoxicological issues may be mitigated if this were to happen. Research showed that both untreated and neutralized herbal pharmaceutical wastewater were very hazardous to *Ceri daphnia*, but that physic-chemical treatment significantly reduced this toxicity by more than 25%. Research suggests that secondary treatment, after primary physicochemical treatment, is necessary to minimize the toxicity of this herbal medicinal effluent. The aquatic crustacean *Ceri daphnia* is very vulnerable to toxins in the environment. They are indicators of an aquatic ecosystem's vitality and production. Most aquatic macrofauna, including *Ceri daphnia*, depend on plankton, especially zooplankton, for sustenance. The term "zooplankton" is used to describe a specific kind of aquatic habitat. Aquatic populations, especially fish, rely heavily on zooplankton creatures since they constitute an essential element of their diet. As a result, it is important to make sure planktons flourish in bodies of water.

References

1. APHA (1998) *Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater*. APHA, AWWA, AWWA WPCF, Washington, DC Devraj HAM, de Zwart D, Ray PK, Vishwanathan PN (1988) *Manual of aquatic ecotoxicology*. Allied Publishers Pt. Ltd., New Delhi, p 332
2. Finney DJ (1971) *Probability analysis, thirdend*. Cambridge University Press, London, p 333.
3. Ghosh TK, Konar SK (1980) *Toxicity of chemicals and wastewaters of paper and pulp mills to worm, plankton, and molluscs*. *Indian J Environ Health* 22(4):278–285.
4. Katalin VB (1995) *Zooplankton for monitoring heavy metal pollution*. In: Rana BC (ed) *Pollution and biomonitoring*. Tata McGraw Hills Publishing Co. Ltd., New Delhi, pp 302–324.
5. Litchfield JT, Wilcoxon FA (1949) *Simplified methods of evaluating dose effect experiments*. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 96:99–113.

6. *Mani VGT, Konar SK (1984) Acute toxicity of Malathion to fish, plankton and worms. Environ Ecol 2(4):248-250.*
7. *Onuoha GC, Nwadike FO, Elrond ES (1996) Comparative toxicity of cadmium to Crustacean zooplankton (Copepoda and Ostar- coda). Environ Ecol 14(3):557-562.*
9. *Pablo F, Buckley RT, Lim RP (1997) Toxicity of Cyanide, Iron cyanide complexes and a blast furnace effluent to the banana prawn Penaeus monodon. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 58:522-529.*
10. *Ruparelia SG, Verma Y, Hargan MC, Venkaiah K, Kulkarni PK (1995) Toxicity of synthetic pyrethroid cypermethrin to Cichlid Acrochordonmosaics and Daphnis Daphnia magna. Indian J Environ Protect 15(6):415-419.*